MARKS: 85
This memorandum consists of 10 pages.

| z 응 0 3 0 | EXPECTED ANSWERS |  | MARKING GUIDELINES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1 | A/The author wanted to show that many children go missing in South Africa. | 1 |  |
| 1.2 | They are not really $\checkmark$ friends. <br> OR <br> She does not know them well enough $\checkmark$ to call them friends. $\checkmark$ <br> She does not know them $\checkmark$ well. <br> OR <br> It's a fake friendship. <br> OR <br> Pretend that they are friends. $\checkmark \checkmark$ | 2 | Accept a reasonable answer that shows that the 'friends' are not really friends/known by her/she is not acquainted with them. <br> They are not friends. = only ONE mark |
| 1.3 | A/Exclamation mark $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| 1.4 | A/She thought she would be starting a new life. | 1 |  |
| 1.5 | Yes. $\checkmark$ She was able to escape a life of prostitution. $\checkmark$ <br> OR <br> Yes. $\checkmark$ She was returned to her family. <br> OR <br> Yes. $\checkmark$ She could have been killed. $\checkmark$ <br> OR <br> Yes. $\checkmark$ She is still alive. $\checkmark$ <br> OR <br> Yes. $\checkmark$ She was found. $\checkmark$ <br> OR <br> Yes. $\checkmark$ She was found after a few weeks. <br> OR <br> No. $\checkmark$ She was traumatised. <br> OR <br> No. $\checkmark$ She was forced to be a prostitute. <br> OR <br> No. $\checkmark$ Her innocence was taken away. $\checkmark$ | 2 | Award ONE mark for 'yes' or 'no'. <br> Only award the mark for 'yes'/'no' if the candidate has provided a reason. <br> If the candidate has only written 'yes'/'no' = 0 marks <br> AND <br> Award ONE mark for the reason. <br> The motivation has to agree with the choice of 'yes'/'no' <br> OR <br> Award TWO marks if the reason/motivation is correct and a clear position/stance is evident to |


|  |  |  | suggest 'yes'/'no' OR I agree/l disagree. <br> Do not award marks for chunk quoting. Only one sentence or a phrase is acceptable. <br> Do not accept True/False. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.6 | A/lt means that the system failed to locate and protect the children. | 1 |  |
| 1.7 | C/Children are at risk of going missing. $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| 1.8 | Yes. $\checkmark$ The statistics are provided by the police and/or MCSA. $\checkmark$ <br> OR <br> Yes. $\checkmark$ The statistics are provided by trustworthy institutions/organisations/ sources. <br> OR <br> No. $\checkmark$ All cases of missing children are not always reported. | 2 | Award ONE mark for 'yes' or 'no'. <br> Only award the mark for 'yes'/'no' if the candidate has provided a reason. <br> If the candidate has only written 'yes'/'no' = 0 marks <br> AND <br> Award ONE mark for the reason. <br> The motivation has to agree with the choice of 'yes'/'no'. <br> OR <br> Award TWO marks if the reason/motivation is correct and a clear position/stance is evident to suggest 'yes'/‘no' OR I agree/l disagree. <br> Do not award marks for chunk quoting. Only one sentence or a phrase is acceptable. <br> Do not accept True/False. |
| 1.9 | Yes. $\checkmark$ If they are happy at home they have no reason to leave. $\checkmark$ <br> OR <br> Yes. $\checkmark$ If they are not being abused at home they have no reason to leave. <br> OR <br> Yes. $\checkmark$ Good parents will monitor their children and they will not get lost. $\checkmark$ <br> OR <br> Yes. $\checkmark$ Good parents know their children's whereabouts. $\checkmark$ | 2 | Award ONE mark for 'yes'/'no'. <br> Only award the mark for 'yes'/'no' if the candidate has provided a reason. <br> If the candidate has only written 'yes'/'no' = 0 marks <br> AND <br> Award ONE mark for the reason. |


|  | OR <br> No. $\checkmark \sqrt{ }$ Even happy children may think they will prefer a life of adventure. <br> OR <br> No. $\checkmark$ Children can be abducted. <br> OR <br> No. $\checkmark$ Children can get lost. OR <br> No. $\checkmark$ Children can get on the wrong bus or taxi. $\checkmark$ |  | The motivation has to agree with the choice of 'yes'/'no'. <br> OR <br> Award TWO marks if the reason/motivation is correct and a clear position/stance is evident to suggest 'yes'/'no' OR I agree/l disagree. <br> Do not award marks for chunk quoting. Only one sentence or a phrase is acceptable. <br> Do not accept True/False. <br> The reason provided must not merely be a repeat of the statement that children "would not go missing if they had a healthier and safer home environment". |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.10 | D/lt refers to the trading with and selling of people. | 1 |  |
| 1.11 | Social networks can be an advantage but (they) must be used responsibly. | 1 | The entire sentence must be correct for one mark. <br> Learners must not repeat 'social networks' after 'but'. They can use 'they' or omit 'they'. |
| 1.12 | She could be sold as a slave. OR <br> She could be killed. $\checkmark$ <br> OR <br> She could be forced into prostitution. <br> OR <br> She could be locked up. $\checkmark$ <br> OR <br> She could be held prisoner. <br> OR <br> She could be held as a sex slave. $\checkmark$ <br> OR <br> She could be lost without a trace. <br> OR <br> She could become part of the human trafficking network. $\checkmark$ | 2 | Award ONE mark per response to a maximum of TWO marks. |
| 1.13 | They wanted to make her unrecognisable. OR <br> They wanted to make it difficult for her family / police/security personnel to recognise her. OR <br> It would make it easier to sneak her out of the | 2 | A substantial or well-motivated answer is awarded TWO marks. <br> Learners are required to explain their reasoning to be awarded TWO marks. |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { centre. } \checkmark \checkmark \\ \text { The hair could be sold. } \checkmark \checkmark\end{array} & & \begin{array}{l}\text { A mediocre, yet relevant response } \\ \text { is awarded ONE mark. } \\ \text { To change her appearance. } \checkmark \text { (Only }\end{array} \\ \text { worth ONE mark.) }\end{array}\right]$

|  | social networks. $\checkmark \quad$ OR <br> Do not agree to meet people you only know from <br> a social network site. $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.16 .1 | $13-18$ years $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| 1.16 .2 | $0-6$ years $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |


| 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.1 | B/Fulfilling a dream. $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| 2.2 | C/The dreams never came true. $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| 2.3 | A/Onomatopoeia $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| 2.4 |  |  |  |
| 2.4.1 | Metaphor OR Personification OR Alliteration $\checkmark$ | 1 | Award ONE mark for any one of the options given |
| 2.4.2 | Metaphor: <br> The soaring voices are compared to birds flying high. <br> OR <br> The comparison tells us the voices are increasing in volume / getting louder/ getting higher / getting sweeter. <br> OR <br> Personification: <br> Voices cannot soar. <br> [They are given the qualities of inanimate (non- <br> living) things.] <br> The soaring voices are like birds flying high. OR <br> The voices are increasing in volume / getting louder/ getting higher / getting sweeter. <br> OR <br> Alliteration: <br> The 's' alliteration suggests singing. $\checkmark \checkmark$ | 2 | The meaning/answer must agree with the answer given in 2.4.1 <br> Award TWO marks for the meaning. <br> A mediocre, yet relevant response is awarded ONE mark. |


| 3.1 | D/The boy is shouting. $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.2 .1 | D/Anger $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| 3.2 .2 | A (body language): <br> He is shaking his bag. $\checkmark$ <br> AND | 2 | Award ONE mark for A (body <br> language) |
|  | B (facial expression): <br> His mouth is turned down. $\checkmark$ <br> OR <br> He looks like he is 'growling' $\checkmark$ | Award ONE mark for B (facial <br> expression). <br> Learners must not merely refer to <br> the look on his face' or 'the way he |  |


|  | His teeth are showing.OR <br> OR <br> He is frowning. $\checkmark$ <br> He is glaring at her. $\checkmark \quad$ OR <br> OR |  | stands'. The answer must refer to <br> specific details. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| He is frowning at her. <br> He has an 'evil' look in his eyes. $\checkmark$ <br> OR | The boy thought he was going to spite his <br> mother by running away. She ruins his plans <br> by playing along. He then says he will stay, <br> which is exactly what she wanted. $\checkmark \checkmark$ <br> OR | 2 | Award TWO marks for a well- <br> expressed and well-motivated <br> answer. |
| He thought he was spiting his mother but he is <br> spiting himself / ruining his own plans. $\checkmark \checkmark$ | A mediocre, yet relevant response <br> is awarded ONE mark. |  |  |


| 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.1 | world wide web $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| 4.2 | The traumatised families are $\checkmark$ supported $\checkmark$ by the MCSA. | 2 |  |
| 4.3 | Olivier said that they $\checkmark$ were $\checkmark$ frequently faced with problems. | 2 | If learners include inverted commas $=0$ marks. |
| 4.4 | ... the abducted child has still not been found. $\checkmark$ | 1 | Learners must write the complete main clause. |
| 4.5 | C/Adjective $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| 4.6 | countries $\checkmark$ | 1 | The spelling must be correct for one mark to be awarded. |
| 4.7 | enough $\checkmark$ OR sufficient $\checkmark$ OR acceptable $\checkmark$ OR appropriate $\checkmark$ OR proper $\checkmark$ | 1 | The spelling must be correct for one mark to be awarded. <br> Accept other synonyms that fit into the sentence and are spelt correctly. |
| 4.8 | If $\checkmark$ you are unable to pick up your child from school on time, $\checkmark$ you should get a message to your him/her/your child. <br> OR <br> You should get a message to your child $\checkmark$ if you are unable to pick him/her/your child up (from school) on time. $\checkmark$. | 2 | The sentence must contain the conjunction 'if'. <br> The sentence must be logically constructed. |


| 4.9 | B/Human trafficking is a reality. $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 4.10 | Many children are too casual on social network <br> sites and are abducted by people who $\checkmark$ <br> promise them a better life. <br> One of the children was $\checkmark$ only found after a <br> year. <br> l used $\checkmark$ to trust people very easily. $\checkmark$ <br> I shall be more careful $\checkmark$ in future. | 5 | Mark only the first five corrections. |
| 5 | See attached rubric. | 20 | Correction must be $100 \%$ correct. <br> Do not penalise if the corrections <br> are written individually and not in a <br> paragraph. |
| 6 | See attached rubric. | Consider the planning of the essay <br> according to the first criteria of the <br> rubric and the presentation of the <br> final essay. |  |
|  | GRAND TOTAL | Consider the planning of the diary <br> according to the first criteria of the <br> rubric and the presentation of the <br> final diary. |  |

SECTION C: QUESTION 5 - RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING AN ESSAY - HOME LANGUAGE

|  | Level 5 | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLANNING AND PRESENTATION <br> 5 MARKS | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0-1 |
|  | Followed all instructions. <br> Planning has produced a virtually flawless and well-crafted essay. <br> Highly effective introduction/ conclusion. | Followed most of the instructions. <br> Planning has produced a very good \& presentable essay. <br> Good introduction/ conclusion. | Followed instructions adequately. <br> Planning has produced a fairly good essay. <br> Adequate introduction/ conclusion. | Followed some instructions. <br> Planning has produced an inadequately presentable essay. <br> Ineffective introduction/ conclusion. | Followed few instructions. <br> Planning has produced a poorly presented essay inadequate for home language. <br> No introduction/ conclusion. |
| CONTENT <br> 5 MARKS | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0-1 |
|  | Content outstanding, highly original. <br> Ideas thoughtprovoking, mature. <br> Exceptional, disciplined writing. | Content meritorious, original. <br> Ideas imaginative, interesting, convincing. <br> Disciplined writing. Learner maintains focus throughout. | Content appropriate. <br> Ideas interesting, mostly relevant, fairly convincing. <br> Learner maintains focus with minor digressions. | Content mediocre, adequately coherent. <br> Few ideas. <br> Learner digresses at times. | Content not always clear; lacks coherence <br> Ideas tedious; often repetitive. <br> Learner digresses. |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0-1 |
| LANGUAGE, STYLE AND EDITING $5 \text { MARKS }$ | Critical awareness of impact of language. <br> Language, punctuation effectively used. <br> Choice of words exceptional, mature. <br> Style, tone, register | Good awareness of impact of language. <br> Language, punctuation correct. <br> Choice of words varied \& creative. <br> Style, tone, register well | Some awareness of impact of language. <br> Language, punctuation mostly correct. <br> Choice of words adequate. <br> Style, tone, register | Limited awareness of impact of language. <br> Language, punctuation limited. <br> Choice of words basic. <br> Style, tone, register | Very limited awareness of impact of language. <br> Language \& punctuation seriously flawed. <br> Choice of words elementary. <br> Style, tone, register |

Grade 9 English HL Memo

|  | highly suited to topic. <br> Virtually error-free. | suited to topic. <br> Largely error-free. | suited to topic. <br> Mostly error-free. | almost appropriate. <br> Contains several errors. | inappropriate. <br> Error-ridden and confused. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRUCTURE <br> 5 MARKS | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0-1 |
|  | Coherent development of topic. <br> Vivid, exceptional detail. | Logical development of details. | Several relevant details developed. | Some points, necessary. Details developed. | Off topic. |
|  | Sentences, paragraphs brilliantly constructed. | Sentences, paragraphs logical, varied. | Sentences, paragraphs fairly well-constructed. | Sentences, paragraphing might be faulty in places but essay still makes sense. | Sentences, paragraphs constructed at an elementary level. |
|  | Length correct. | Length correct. | Length almost correct. | Length - too long/short. | Length - too long/short. |

## SECTION C: QUESTION 6 - RUBRIC FOR ASSESSING A DIARY - HOME LANGUAGE

| Criteria | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4-5 marks | 3 marks | 2 marks | 0-1 marks |
| Format, planning and length <br> (5 marks) | Followed all instructions. | Followed most of the instructions. | Followed some instructions. | Followed few instructions. |
|  | Extensive knowledge of requirements of text and format. | Very good knowledge of requirements of text and format. | Elementary knowledge of requirements of text and format. | No knowledge of requirements of text and format. |
|  | Planning has produced excellent writing. | Planning has produced good writing. | Inadequate planning has produced elementary writing. | Little evidence of planning. |
|  | Disciplined writing; learner maintains rigorous focus. | Fairly disciplined writing: learner maintains focus. | Writing reveals a narrow focus. | Writing reveals a limited focus. |
|  | Total coherence in content \& ideas. Ideas highly elaborated \& all details support topic. | Text is coherent in content \& ideas, very well elaborated \& all details support topic. | Learner digresses. <br> Text not always coherent in content \& ideas. | Learner digresses. <br> Text incoherent. Meaning obscure in places. |

Grade 9 English HL Memo

|  | Appropriate length. | Appropriate length. | Few details which support topic. Inadequate for home language. <br> Length - too long or short. | Few details which support topic. <br> Inadequate planning/drafting. <br> Far too long or too short. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8-10 marks | 4-7 marks | 2-3 marks | 0-1 mark |
| Content <br> (10 marks) | Complete adherence to the topic. | Adheres to the topic with minor deviations. | Little relevance to the topic | Completely off the topic. |
|  | Content outstanding. Highly original Ideas highly elaborated. | Content sound. Reasonably coherent. Most details support topic. | Moderately coherent. Some details support topic. | Few or no details to support topic. |
|  | Outstanding emotional response. | Good emotional response. | Very little emotion conveyed. Lapses into story-telling. | Mainly story-telling instead of emotional response. |
|  | 4-5 marks | 3 marks | 2 marks | 0-1 marks |
| Language/Style/ Register/Tone (5 marks) | Highly appropriate register/style/tone. | Mostly appropriate register/style/tone. | Inappropriate register/style/tone. | Completely inappropriate register/style/tone. |
|  | Vocabulary highly appropriate. | Appropriate vocabulary. | Vocabulary limited. | Vocabulary requires remediation. |
|  | Variation of sentence types. | Complete sentences. | Incomplete sentences. | Weak sentence structure. |
|  | Highly appropriate use of language, grammar, spelling and punctuation with few errors. | Few grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors. | Many grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors. | Text is poorly constructed \& very difficult to follow. Text error-ridden. |

TOTAL: $(20 \div 2)=\mathbf{1 0}$

